# USE OF SOCIAL MEDIA AND ITS EFFECT ON ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE OF THE STUDENTS

#### **R. SIVAKUMAR**

ICSSR - IMPRESS Project Director, Associate Professor, Department of Education, Annamalai University, Chidambaram, Cuddalore, Tamilnadu, India - 608002. Email: rsk\_edutech@yahoo.co.in ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4305-1628

#### Abstract

The use of digital technology in education has attracted much interest in the recent years. Social Media are growing rapidly among the young generation of the world. School age students widely engaged using Social Media. So, they will affect students personal and academic live. Thus, this study is designed to find out the Effects of Social Media on the academic performances of students in Cuddalore District. Survey method was adapted to collect the relevant data for the study. 1000 were selected as sample. Random sampling technique was employed for sample selection. Subsequently, Statistical Technique was applied to analyze the data. As a result, There is significant difference between the mean scores of Male and Female Students, Rural and Urban Students with respect to *Time Spent on Social Media Lesser than 1 Hour, between 1 - 2 Hours and 3 - 4 Hours Per day. There* is significant difference between the mean scores of Male and Female Students, Rural and Urban Students with respect to Most use of WhatsApp Platform on Social Media. There is significant difference between the mean scores of Male and Female Students, Rural and Urban Students with respect to Using Social Media for Academic Purpose. There is significant difference between the mean scores of Male and Female Students, Rural and Urban Students with respect to Positively Impact of Social Media on Education. It was concluded that the social media impacts the academic performance of the students. Therefore the social media contributes to improve the academic performance of the students. However, the results showed that there is significant differences between academic achievement and impact of social media among Students. Teacher Educators and students can use social media as teaching and learning tool to ease and improve learning process.

**Keyword:** Effect of Social Media, Academic Performance, Gender and Locale of School Students.

#### Introduction

Social media was widely accepted by public. There are numerous online networking platforms that include but are not limited to Facebook, Twitter, Instagram, Pinterest, YouTube, LinkedIn, Google+, Flickr, Snapchat, Vine, Tumblr. The capacity of Social Media networking to spread valuable data quickly has made it the quickest developing method of association. Social media has changed

numerous businesses, however the most impact of it is in the classroom teaching and the overall education system. It is a common expectation for academic staff and administrators to investigate options to ensure the learning environment is modern, relevant and capable of producing graduates with attributes aligned with the work environment and their career expectations. Students engage with campus life carrying highly sophisticated computing devices in their pockets. Institutes of higher education are concerned that both their staff and students are digitally literate, in the manner of learning delivery or method of instruction. Social media networking usage refers to online space that is used by students to connect, share, communicate, establish or maintain connection with others for academic and socialization purposes. Social media networking as a communication medium is rising quickly, mostly in the prosperous development of applications for mobile devices. A study stated that the use of social media tools improved the student's learning opportunities, allowed for real-time communication outside the classroom, fostered collaborative opportunities, and enhanced creativity. Students can watch educationally relevant videos or exchange information about what they have watched and learned, and then join online to further discuss with teachers. Even the teachers also can learn from the students during social networking interactions. Similarly, a teacher can supervise students while they are learning, reflecting, sharing, interacting and summarizing discussions. Social media provides a forum to contact peers and teachers from wherever they are, offering the flexibility of extended duty hours. Some social media, especially Facebook, WhatsApp, YouTube and Kaizala App, features may boost students to involve in social and creative learning progressions that extend beyond traditional educational settings and institutions.

#### **Significance of Study**

A new area of social media is increasing in popularity that is focused on building relationships with students outside of the classroom. Students use social media sites such as Facebook, Twitter, WhatsApp and MySpace to create and sustain relationships with teachers and peers. Students can upload photographs, post what they are doing at classroom and any academic activities, and send personal or public messages to whomever they choose. In this "information age," social media seems to be growing in popularity rapidly, especially among school students. The issue of using the social media in the classroom has been a controversial topic for several years. Many parents and educators have been fearful of the repercussions of having the social media in the classroom. Social media helps Teacher to be connected to their students off campus as well as with their ex-students. Teachers use social media as a way of teaching by creating groups and accounts for students where the information can be accessed. Teachers can share ideas with each other and point students to LinkedIn and Facebook. Teachers create hash tags that allow students to tag their academic posts, and view submissions to see what the collective has creatively produced. Some parents are worried about students' continuous use of the social media sites, many students continue to utilize these sites on a daily basis. It is against this background that this study is being conducted to find out the impact of students' use of social media on their academic work. Social Media are becoming more popular among school / university students and are a new way of spending free time and serve as a separate channel for finding the necessary information, both educational and entertaining. Therefore, it is necessary to examine the Use of Social Media and Its Effect on Academic Performance of Students, in particular, how the use of social networks in the academic purpose.

#### **Objectives of the Study**

The objectives of the project is to determine following in order to assist to derive outcome of the research problem.

- 1. To find out the Use of Social Media have any Effect on Academic Performance of the Students.
- 2. To find out the significant difference in the mean scores among Gender and Locale of the Students with respect to Use of Social Media and its Effect on Academic Performance.
- To find out the significant difference in the mean scores among Gender and Locale of the Students with respect to Time Spent on Social Media.
- 4. To find out the significant difference in the mean scores among Gender and Locale of the Students with respect to Using Time of Social Media.
- To find out the significant difference in the mean scores among Gender and Locale of the Students with respect to Most use Platform on Social Media.
- To find out the significant difference in the mean scores among Gender and Locale of the Students with respect to Purpose of Using Social Media.
- To find out the significant difference in the mean scores among Gender and Locale of the Students with respect to Impact of Social Media on Education.

- 1. There is no effect between Use of Social Media and Academic Performance of the Students.
- 2. There is no significant difference in the mean scores among Gender and Locale of the Students with respect to Use of Social Media and its Effect on Academic Performance.
- 3. There is no significant difference in the mean scores among Gender and Locale of the Students with respect to Time Spent on Social Media.
- 4. There is no significant difference in the mean scores among Gender and Locale of the Students with respect to Using Time of Social Media.
- 5. There is no significant difference in the mean scores among Gender and Locale of the Students with respect to Most use Platform on Social Media.
- 6. There is no significant difference in the mean scores among Gender and Locale of the Students with respect to Purpose of Using Social Media.
- 7. There is no significant difference in the mean scores among Gender and Locale of the Students with respect to Impact of Social Media on Education.

#### **Methodology in Brief**

The goal of this study is to learn about the social media's impact on school students' academic performance. The investigator followed the "Survey" as method of the present study. The Questionnaire was developed and administered to the Students. The Students have responded to the questionnaire. Annual Marks of IX Standard and Half Yearly Marks of X Standard have been taken to analyse the Academic Performance of the Students. The data thus collected were put into appropriate statistical to analysis the data with the help of SPSS (Statistical Package for the Social Sciences).

#### Sample for the Study

Random sampling technique was adopted to select the sample for the present study. The investigator decided to collect data from Students, which are under the jurisdiction of Cuddalore District. 1000 Students were the sample for this study.

#### **Tools Used for the Study**

Effectiveness of evaluation largely depends upon the accuracy of measurement in any research. Accuracy of measurement in turn depends on the precision of the tool. Effects of Social Media on Student's Academic Performance Scale (ESMAPS) was prepared and developed by the investigator and it was used to collect the data for this study. The reliability and validity of the Effects of Social Media on Student's Academic Performance tool were established.

#### **Statistical Techniques Applied**

Statistical Techniques serve the fundamental purpose of the description and inferential analysis. The descriptive and differential analyses were used in the study.

#### **Hypotheses Testing**

The hypotheses formulated for the present study were tested by applying statistical techniques. Descriptive and Differential analyses were used.

#### Hypothesis - 1

There is no effect between Use of Social Media and Academic Performance of the Students.

#### Table - 1

## Mean difference among of the Students with respect to Use of Social Media and Its Effect on Academic Performance of the Students

| Variable                            | N    | Mean of Academic<br>Performance | S.D  | t' Value |
|-------------------------------------|------|---------------------------------|------|----------|
| Before Starting use of Social Media | 1000 | 233.6                           | 35.6 |          |
| After Starting use of Social Media  | 1000 | 297.2                           | 73.5 | 24.6     |

The above Table-1 reveals that there is a positive impact between the Academic Performance in Annual of IX standard (After Starting use of Social Media) and Academic Performance in Half Yearly of IX standard (Before Starting use of Social Media) at a significant of 0.01 level. This indicates that the social media effects the academic performance of the students. Therefore the social media contributes to improve the academic performance of the students.

#### Hypothesis - 2

There is no significant difference in the mean scores among Gender and Locale of the Students with respect to Use of Social Media and its Effect on Academic Performance.

#### Table - 2

## Mean difference among Gender and Locale of the Students with respect to Effect of Social Media on Academic Performance of the Students

| Variable   | Sub      | Ν   | Mean   | S.D   | t' Value | Significant Level |
|--|----------|-----|--------|-------|----------|-------------------|
|  | Variable |     |        |       |          |                   |
|  | Male     | 754 | 243.43 | 35.4  |          |                   |
|  |          |     |        |       | 17.41    | Significant       |
| Effect of Social Media on<br>Academic Performance of<br>the Students | Female   | 246 | 203.54 | 10.63 |          |                   |
|  | Rural    | 319 | 257.81 | 75.99 |          |                   |
|  |          |     |        |       | 12.45    | Significant       |
|  | Urban    | 681 | 315.64 | 64.61 |          |                   |
|  |          |     |        |       |          |                   |

The above Table-2 reveals that there is significant difference between the mean scores of Male and Female Students with respect to Use of Social Media and its Effect on Academic Performance. There is significant difference between the mean scores of Rural and Urban Students with respect to Use of Social Media and its Effect on Academic Performance. Male Students (243.43) have more Performance than the Female Students and Urban Students (315.64) have more Performance than the Rural area Students.

#### Hypothesis - 3

There is no significant difference in the mean scores among Gender and Locale of the Students with respect to Time Spent on Social Media.

#### Table - 3

## Mean difference among Gender and Locale of the Students with respect to Time Spent on Social Media

https://samwaad.in Page 12 of 66

## ISSN: **2277-7490**

2020: Vol.9 Iss. 2

| Time Spent       | Sub Variable | Ν   | Mean   | S.D   | t' Value | Significant Level |             |
|------------------|--------------|-----|--------|-------|----------|-------------------|-------------|
|                  | Male         | 76  | 195.26 | 4.54  | 7.3      | Significant       |             |
| Less than 1 Hour | Female       | 47  | 200.31 | 1.73  | 1.5      | Significant       |             |
|                  | Rural        | 84  | 197.95 | 5.13  | 2.84     | Significant       |             |
|                  | Urban        | 39  | 195.56 | 1.48  | 2.04     | Significant       |             |
|                  | Male         | 205 | 229.17 | 18.73 | 7.25     | Significant       |             |
| 1 - 2 Hours      | Female       | 60  | 247.26 | 8.61  |          | Significant       |             |
| 1 - 2 Hours      | Rural        | 197 | 235.59 | 18.94 | 3 54     | 3 54              | Significant |
|                  | Urban        | 68  | 226.52 | 15.77 |          | Significant       |             |
|                  | Male         | 394 | 333.27 | 34.7  | 0.41     | 9.41              | Significant |
| 3 - 4 Hours      | Female       | 104 | 299.13 | 24.7  | 9.41     | Significant       |             |
| 5 - 4 110u1s     | Rural        | 331 | 332.15 | 37.85 | 5.44     | Significant       |             |
|                  | Urban        | 167 | 314.23 | 27.32 | 5.44     | Significant       |             |
|                  | Male         | 79  | 426.3  | 14.6  | 1.23     | Not Significant   |             |
| 5 - 6 Hours -    | Female       | 35  | 429.42 | 4.38  | 1.23     | not significant   |             |
|                  | Rural        | 69  | 430.26 | 11.1  | 2 22     | 2.22              | Significant |
|                  | Urban        | 45  | 422.66 | 13.2  | 3.32     | Significant       |             |

The above Table-3 reveals that there is significant difference between the mean scores of Male and Female Students, Rural and Urban Students with respect to Time Spent on Social Media Lesser than 1 Hour, between 1 - 2 Hours and 3 - 4 Hours Per day. There is significant difference between the mean scores of Rural and Urban Students with respect to Time Spent on Social Media between 5 - 6 Hours. There is no significant difference between the mean scores of Male and Female Students with respect to Time Spent on Social Media between 5 - 6 Hours. Female Students (200.31) have more performance than the Male Students with respect to Time Spent on Social Media between 1 - 2 Hours, Female Students (247.26) have more performance than the Male Students with respect to Time Spent on Social Media between 2 - 3 Hours and Male Students (333.27) have more performance than the Female Students with respect to Time Spent on Social Media between 3 - 4 Hours. Rural Students (197.95) have more performance than the Urban Students with respect to Time Spent on Social Media Lesser than 1 Hour, Rural Students (235.59) have more performance than the Urban Students with respect to Time Spent on Social Media between 1 - 2 Hours, Rural Students (332.15) have more performance than the Urban Students with respect to Time Spent on Social Media between 3 - 4 Hours and Rural Students (430.26) have more performance than the Urban Students with respect to Time Spent on Social Media between 5 - 6 Hours.

#### Hypothesis - 4

There is no significant difference in the mean scores among Gender and Locale of the Students with respect to Using Time of Social Media.

|                  |                 |     | Social Med  |         |          |                   |
|------------------|-----------------|-----|-------------|---------|----------|-------------------|
| Using Time       | Sub<br>Variable | N   | Mean        | S.D     | t' Value | Significant Level |
|                  | Male            | 110 | 199.24      | 6.24    | 1.37     | Not Significant   |
| During Free Tim  | Female          | 40  | 197.87      | 1.47    | 1.57     | Not Significant   |
| During Tree Tim  | Rural           | 70  | 200.4       | 5.53    | 3.31     | Significant       |
|                  | Urban           | 80  | 197.5       | 5.01    | 5.51     | Significant       |
|                  | Male            | 578 | 305.96      | 52.36   | 8.87     | Significant       |
| Whilst at School | Female          | 160 | 266.43      | 39.54   |          |                   |
| winnst at School | Rural           | 548 | 303.31      | 52.84   | 5.3      | Significant       |
|                  | Urban           | 190 | 280.31      | 47.37   | 5.5      | Significant       |
|                  | Male            | 66  | 433.88      | 7.89    | 8.1      | Significant       |
| Spare Moment     | Female          | 46  | 418.69      | 12.03   | 0.1      |                   |
|                  | Rural           | 63  | 426.25      | 10.1    | 1.32     | Not Significant   |
|                  | Urban           | 49  | 429.34      | 14.59   | 1.52     | 140t Significant  |
| <b>TT</b> 1 1    |                 | 1   | • • • • • • | 4 11 00 | 1 / /1   |                   |

 Table - 4

 Mean difference among Gender and Locale of the Students with respect to Using Time of

 Social Media

The above Table-4 reveals that there is significant difference between the mean scores of Male and Female Students, Rural and Urban Students with respect to Using of Social Media Whilst at School. There is significant difference between the mean scores of Rural and Urban Students with respect to Using of Social Media During Free Time. There is significant difference between the mean scores of Male and Female Students with respect to Using of Social Media in Spare Moment. There is no significant difference between the mean scores of Male and Female Students with respect to Using of Social Media During Free Time and There is no significant difference between the mean scores of Rural and Urban Students with respect to Using of Social Media in Spare Moment. Rural Students (200.4) have more performance than the Urban Students with respect to Using of Social Media During Free Time. Male Students (305.96) have more performance than the Female Students with respect to Using of Social Media Whilst at School. Rural Students (303.31) have more performance than the Urban Students with respect to Using of Social Media Whilst at School. Male Students (433.88) have more performance than the Female Students with respect to Using of Social Media in Spare Moment.

#### Hypothesis - 5

There is no significant difference in the mean scores among Gender and Locale of the Students with respect to Most Use Platform on Social Media.

| Table - 5  |
|--|
| Mean difference among Gender and Locale of the Students with respect to Most use of Social |
| Media Platform   |

|                  |             | 111 | eula riauoi | 111   |              |                  |             |
|------------------|-------------|-----|-------------|-------|--------------|------------------|-------------|
| Most use Platfor | Sub Variabl | Ν   | Mean        | S.D   | t' Value     | Significant Leve |             |
| Facebook         | Male        | 345 | 264.85      | 47.64 | 1.8 Not Sigr | Not Significant  |             |
|                  | Female      | 96  | 255.0       | 44.58 | 1.0          | Not Significant  |             |
| Tacebook         | Rural       | 353 | 263.3       | 49.18 | 0.53         |                  |             |
|                  | Urban       | 88  | 260         | 37.88 | 0.55         | Not Significant  |             |
|                  | Male        | 33  | 210.84      | 3.0   | 2.26         | 2.20             | Significant |
| Instagram        | Female      | 30  | 212.9       | 4.1   |              | Significant      |             |
| Instagram        | Rural       | 28  | 212.21      | 3.59  | 0.74         | Not Significant  |             |
|                  | Urban       | 35  | 211.51      | 3.82  |              | i tot orginitean |             |
|                  | Male        | 35  | 237.88      | 9.41  | 0.92         | Not Significant  |             |
| Twitter          | Female      | 105 | 236.05      | 10.38 | 0.92         | Not Significant  |             |
| I writer         | Rural       | 50  | 232.16      | 7.72  | 3.98 Signi   | Significant      |             |
|                  | Urban       | 90  | 238.93      | 10.55 | 5.90         | Significant      |             |
|                  | Male        | 271 | 383.87      | 39.11 | 4.43         | Significant      |             |
| WhatsApp         | Female      | 85  | 363.03      | 33.33 | <b></b> J    | Significalit     |             |
| wnaisApp         | Rural       | 250 | 387.73      | 40.21 | 7.03         | Significant      |             |
|                  | Urban       | 106 | 358.05      | 25.12 | 7.05         | Significant      |             |

The above Table-5 reveals that there is no significant difference between the mean scores of Male and Female Students, Rural and Urban Students with respect to Most use of Facebook Platform on Social Media. There is no significant difference between the mean scores of Rural and Urban Students with respect to Most use of Instagram Platform on Social Media. There is no significant difference between the mean scores of Male and Female Students with respect to Most use of Twitter Platform on Social Media. There is significant difference between the mean scores of Male and Female Students with respect to Most use of Instagram Platform on Social Media. There is significant difference between the mean scores of Rural and Urban Students with respect to Most use of Twitter Platform on Social Media. There is significant difference between the mean scores of Male and Female Students, Rural and Urban Students with respect to Most use of WhatsApp Platform on Social Media. Female Students (212.9) have more performance than the Male Students with respect to with respect to Most use of Instagram Platform on Social Media. Urban Students (238.93) have more performance than the Rural Students with respect to with respect to Most use of Twitter Platform on Social Media. Male Students (383.87) have more performance than the Female Students with respect to with respect to Most use of WhatsApp Platform on Social Media. Rural Students (387.73) have more performance than the Urban Students with respect to with respect to Most use of WhatsApp Platform on Social Media.

## Hypothesis - 6

There is no significant difference in the mean scores among Gender and Locale of the Students with respect to Purpose of Using Social Media.

| D             |              |     |        |       |          |                   |
|---------------|--------------|-----|--------|-------|----------|-------------------|
| Purpose of    | Sub Variable | Ν   | Mean   | S.D   | t' Value | Significant Level |
| Using         | Sub variable | 1   | Wiean  | 5.D   | t value  | Significant Level |
|               | Male         | 650 | 328.88 | 62.29 | - 7.76   | Significant       |
| Academic      | Female       | 150 | 286.32 | 52.04 |          | Significant       |
| readenne      | Rural        | 528 | 331.29 | 66.41 | 6.7      | Significant       |
|               | Urban        | 272 | 300.72 | 49.01 |          |                   |
|               | Male         | 104 | 200.63 | 5.69  | 3.38     | Significant       |
| Non Academic  | Female       | 96  | 204.31 | 9.37  |          |                   |
| Ton Troutenne | Rural        | 153 | 202.56 | 7.98  | 0.54     | Not Significant   |
|               | Urban        | 47  | 201    | 7.6   |          |                   |

 Table - 6

 Mean difference among Gender and Locale of the Students with respect to Purpose of Using

 Social Media

The above Table-6 reveals that there is significant difference between the mean scores of Male and Female Students, Rural and Urban Students with respect to Using Social Media for Academic Purpose. There is significant difference between the mean scores of Male and Female Students with respect to Using Social Media for Non Academic Purpose. There is no significant difference between the mean scores of Rural and Urban Students with respect to Using Social Media for Non Academic Purpose. Male Students (328.88) have more performance than the Female Students with respect to Using Social Media for Academic Purpose. Rural Students (331.29) have more performance than the Urban Students with respect to Using Social Media for Non Academic Purpose. Female Students (204.31) have more performance than the Male Students with respect to Using Social Media for Non Academic Purpose.

#### Hypothesis - 7

There is no significant difference in the mean scores among Gender and Locale of the Students with respect to Impact of Social Media on Education.

| inpact on Education |             |     |        |       |          |                  |  |
|---------------------|-------------|-----|--------|-------|----------|------------------|--|
| Impact on Education | Sub Variabl | Ν   | Mean   | S.D   | t' Value | Significant Leve |  |
|                     | Male        | 669 | 327.01 | 65.1  | 9.68     | Significant      |  |
| Positivaly          | Female      | 119 | 265.07 | 59.34 |          | Significant      |  |
| Positively          | Rural       | 589 | 332.73 | 62.59 | 11.58    | Significant      |  |
|                     | Urban       | 199 | 273.02 | 63.58 |          | Significant      |  |
| Negatively          | Male        | 85  | 226.95 | 33.13 | 2.19     | Significant      |  |
|                     | Female      | 127 | 217.29 | 30.23 | 2.19     | Significant      |  |
|                     | Rural       | 92  | 224.32 | 33.14 | 1.27     | Not Significant  |  |
|                     | Urban       | 120 | 218.74 | 30.48 | 1.27     | Not Significant  |  |

Mean difference among Gender and Locale of the Students with respect to Social Media Impact on Education

Table - 7

The above Table-7 reveals that there is significant difference between the mean scores of Male and Female Students, Rural and Urban Students with respect to Positively Impact of Social Media on Education. There is significant difference between the mean scores of Male and Female Students with respect to Negatively Impact of Social Media on Education. There is no significant difference between the mean scores of Rural and Urban Students with respect to Negatively Impact of Social Media on Education. Male Students (327.01) have more performance than the Female Students with respect to Positively Impact of Social Media on Education. Rural Students (332.73) have more performance than the Urban Students with respect to Positively Impact of Social Media on Education. Male Students (226.95) have more performance than the Female Students with respect to Social Media on Education.

#### Conclusion

The study was conducted to examine the Uses of Social Media and Its Effect on Academic Performance of the Students. As a result, the Social Media contributes to improve the Academic Performance of the Students. In addition, the study revealed that the Male Students have more Performance than the Female Students and Urban Students have more Performance than the Rural Area Students. There is significant difference between the mean scores of Male and Female Students, Rural and Urban Students with respect to Time Spent on Social Media Lesser than 1 Hour, between 1 - 2 Hours and 3 - 4 Hours Per day. There is significant difference between the mean scores of Male

and Female Students with respect to Using of Social Media in Spare Moment. There is significant difference between the mean scores of Male and Female Students, Rural and Urban Students with respect to Most use of WhatsApp Platform on Social Media. There is significant difference between the mean scores of Male and Female Students, Rural and Urban Students with respect to Using Social Media for Academic Purpose. There is significant difference between the mean scores of Male and Urban Students with respect to Positively Impact of Social Media on Education. The study further revealed that most students use the social media sites to chat for academic purpose and it helped to improve their academic performance.

#### References

- Ahmed, I. & Qazi, T. (2011). A look out for academic impacts of social networking sites (SNSs): A student based perspective. *African Journal of Business Management*, 5(12), 5022-5031.
- Becker, A. E., Fay, K. E., Agnew-Blais, J., Khan, A. N., Striegel-Moore, R. H., & Gilman, S. E. (2011). Social network media exposure and adolescent eating pathology in Fiji. The British Journal of Psychiatry, 198, 43-50.
- Danah Michele Boyd. (2010). Taken Out of Context: American Teen Sociality in Networked Publics. *University of California*; 2008. Retrieved on 15/01/2020 from www.danah.org/papers/TakenOutOfContext.pdf.
- Suzanne Choney (2010). Facebook Use Can Lower Grades by 20 Percent, Study Says. http://www.msnbc.com/id/39038581/ns.technology\_and\_science-ech\_and\_gadgets/.Retrieved on 15/01/2020
- Enriquez, J. (2010). Facebook and Other Online Social Networking Sites Can Lower Grades, Study Says. Available at: http://seerpress.com/facebook-and-other-online-social-networking-sites-can-lower-gradesstudy-says/6935/. Retrieved 14/02/2020
- Englander, F., Terregrosa, R. & Wang, Z. (2010). Internet use among College Student: Tool or Toy? Educational Review. 62(1) 85-96.
- Ferguson, C. J. (2013). In the eye of the beholder: Thin-ideal media affects some, but not most, viewers in a meta- analytic review of body dissatisfaction in women and men. Psychology of Popular Media Culture, 2, 20-37.
- Holmstrom, A. J. (2004). The effects of the media on body image: A meta-analysis. Journal of Broadcasting & Electronic Media, 48, 196-217.
- Jacobsen, W. C., & Forste, R. (2011). The Wired Generation: Academic and Social Outcomes of Electronic Media Use Among University Students. Cyber Psychology Behaviour & Social Networking 18, (5) 275-285.
- Junco, R., Heibergert, G. & Loken, E. (2010). The Effect of Twitter on college students Engagement and Grades, *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, 1-14.

## ISSN: **2277-7490**

- Kaitlin, C. (2010). Social Media Changing Social Interactions. *Student Journal of Media Literacy Education*, Issue 1, Vol. 1. 1- 11. Retrieved on 15/01/2020 from http://understandmedia.com/pdf/SJMLE-Vol1.pdf
- Karpinski, A, C. & Duberstein, A. (2009). A Description of Facebook Use and Academic Performance among Undergraduate and Graduate Students. San Diego, California: American National Research Association. 1-19.
- Khan, U (2009). Facebook student underachieve in exams. Daily Telegraph, Retrieved on July, 2013, from http://www.telegraph.co.uk/educationnews/5145243/Facebook-students-underachieve-in-exams.html
- Lusk, Brooke (2010). Digital Natives and Social Media Behaviors: An Overview. *The Prevention Research*, Vol. 17, Issue 5. 3–6. Retrieved on 15/01/2020 from https://eric.ed.gov/?id=EJ909130
- Saba Mehmood & Tarang Taswir (2013). The effect of social networking site on the Academic Performance on students in college of applies sciences. *International Journal of Arts and Commence*. *Vol.* 2 *No.* 1 pp 111-123 Retrieved on 15/01/2020 from https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/c58c/bf7d9813e0b5909c06fce3afe921e7617698.pdf
- Sivakumar, R. (2007). "Information Technology in Education.", *Journal of Edutrack, Volume 07, Number 04,* 17-19.
- Sivakumar, R. (2008). "Online Classroom Equipped with ICT.", *Meston Journal of Research in Education, Volume 07, Number 02,* 17-21.
- Sivakumar, R. (2014). "3G Mobile Technology in Education.", *Journal of Cognitive Discourses, Volume 02, Number 01*, 49-53.
- Sivakumar, R. (2014). "Google Glass in Education.", *Journal of Cognitive Discourses, Volume 02, Number 02, 24-27.*
- Sivakumar, R. (2015). "Skype in Education.", Journal of Educational and Psychological Research, Volume 05, Number 01, 5-10.
- Sivakumar, R. (2015). "Android App in Teaching English.", *Journal of Contemporary Educational Research and Innovations*, Volume 05, Number 05, 214-219.
- Sivakumar, R. (2016). "WhatsApp in Education.", *GLOKALde e-journal of UDEEEWANA*, *Volume 2, Number 2*, 18-22.
- Sivakumar, R. (2020). "Effects of Social Media on Academic Performance of the Students", *The Online Journal of Distance Education and e-Learning*, April 2020, Volume 8, Issue 2, 90-97.
- Wang, Q., Chen, W. & Liang, Y. (2011), The Effects of Social media on College Students. The Alan Shawn Feinstein Graduate School. Providence: Johnson & Wales University.
- Watson, Jeff (2001). How to Determine a Sample Size: Tipsheet #60, University Park, PA: Penn State Cooperative Extension. Retrieved on 15/01/2020 from http://comm.eval.org/thoughtleaders/viewdocument/program-evaluation-t-72.